

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/031,365	LOCK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Alan Diamond	1753	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** rejected

(1) Nam Nguyen (SPE Art Unit 1753).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mary Breiner (attorney).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 May 2005

**Time:** 4:00 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*None*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Nguyen inquired as to whether a reply has been filed to the Office action mailed July 29, 2004, or if the Application is to go abandoned. Ms. Breiner said that the application is to go abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)